

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

Date: May 30, 2008

Chief, FOIA/PA Office  
<etc. - As Applicable>

Dear Sir or Madam,

I make this following request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U. S. C. Sec. 522 and the Privacy Act, 5 U. S. C. Section 522a, as may be revised and/or updated.

*I respectfully request that you provide me with a copy of all documents that can be found in any file, or in any form, maintained on or about me, under the name(s) stated below. I also respectfully request a readable and legible copy of documents in any file, or any form, that is maintained with substantial references to me, my activities, character or associations. In addition, I respectfully request that you search the manual card records. I am concerned that there may have been an older security file or an older criminal file that may have been closed and discarded. – (Included within the FOIA letter to the FBI only)*

Please search all research, purchasing related records, documents, files, information, computer data, etc. in all applicable locations, laboratories and offices for:

1. Copies of any and all files, records, documents, information, photos, research materials (including results), and purchasing and any other contract-related information related to or referring to any development of, acquisition of, installation of, deployment of, use of, testing of, research related to, and/or investigation or evaluation of the capabilities, properties, and/or effects of any systems, devices (including any and all parts or components thereof) and/or interfaces to such systems or devices capable from a distance and without contact of directing and transmitting energy and/or microscopic matter, rather than macroscopic matter (bullets, projectiles, etc.) or pure acoustic energy audible at its source (megaphones, etc.), capable of

either causing tapping, popping and/or thumping noises, audible noise transients superimposed upon radio reception devices, visible noise transients visible upon television screens or computer displays, and/or house lighting to briefly flicker or vary in intensity

and/or capable, with or without the assistance of microscopic devices embedded in the flesh, of causing the perception of bee stings, mosquito bites, biting flies, crawling insects, the impact of small rain drops, the sensation of pointed objects dragged across the skin or any other localized tactile sensations, and/or causing the physical sensation of intense migraine-like or other pain, and/or causing the perception of hearing voices or other noises, and/or causing the disturbance or disruption of vision and/or surveilling and/or influencing the central nervous system in any way.

### **Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

2. All federal and state laws that the FBI/CIA and/or other federal, state or local agency, private business and/or private individuals known to the FBI/CIA and/or working formally or informally in cooperation with the FBI/CIA (“cooperating individuals”) have referred to so as to justify the directing of any of the means described in (1) at any person within the borders of the United States for days, years or decades at a time, without first obtaining the written consent of persons targeted by such means or justifying the use of such means with any official, unambiguous, written form submitted to persons targeted by such means, and while keeping the existence and use of such means perpetually hidden from any official, unambiguous, written record easily available to persons targeted by such means or to the public at large.

3. The total number of persons since the year 1950 who have been subjected, while within the borders of the United States, to any of the means listed in (1) for any purpose, while such means were under the control of the FBI/CIA and/or any of those cooperating individuals.

4. The total number and identities of all persons since the year 1950 who have committed suicide while being or after being subjected, while within the borders of the United States, to any of the means listed in (1) for any purpose, while such means were under the control of the FBI/CIA and/or those cooperating individuals.

5. All federal and state laws that the FBI/CIA has referred to so as to justify the distribution of information obtained through the surveillance of persons in places having a reasonable expectation of privacy to other persons who are not officially employed by law enforcement or government investigative agencies.

I am aware of my rights under law: to receive a response to this request within 20 working days; to be informed of the grounds if this request is denied; to appeal any denial; and, to receive copies of excerpted information from a document where other sections have been declared exempt from this request.

I am requesting a fee waiver because the information I am requesting will contribute significantly to the public's understanding of the operations of the government and/or is primarily in the public interest.

Thank you for consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

Theodore (Ted) Jackson  
Birth Information: 10/27/65, Kingsport, TN  
SSN: 229-82-2234  
Phone Number: 404-296-5547

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

**Present Address:**  
265 Glen Cove Drive  
Avondale Estates, GA 30002

**Previous Addresses:**  
1439 Druid Valley Drive  
Apartment C  
Atlanta, GA 30329

**Previous Address:**  
734 Frederica St. NW  
Atlanta, GA 30318

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

June 30, 2008

Mr. Theodore Jackson  
265 Glen Cove Drive  
Avondale Estates, GA 30002

Reference: F-2008-01358

Dear Mr. Jackson:

This acknowledges receipt of your 30 May 2008 letter requesting records under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

Our records indicate you submitted a previous request for the same information on 24 September 2007 (F-2008-00012). We are enclosing a copy of our previous response to you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Delores M. Nelson".

Delores M. Nelson  
Information and Privacy Coordinator

Enclosure

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

July 5, 2008

Agency Release Panel (ARP)  
c/o Information and Privacy Coordinator  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington, D.C. 20505

Re: Freedom of Information Act Appeal

Dear Sir or Miss:

Under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, 6 U.S.C. Sec. 552(a), I hereby appeal the withholding of the material and information referred to in your agency's response letter dated June 30, 2008 in regards to my FOIA request letter dated May 30, 2008. For your reference, my request was assigned Reference Number F2008-00012.

As you may know, the intelligence and investigative community maintain a kind of clandestine punitive regime that may employ each of the means listed within part 1 of my FOIA request, that can include both long term physical torture and concerted mental cruelty, that is frequently employed against peaceful U.S. citizens who have been legitimately convicted of no crime, and that has thrust many of those persons to their deaths, into institutions and into prisons under false, contrived and aggravated circumstances. I rely on the proper, traditional definition of torture according to Webster's Dictionary ("Infliction of severe physical pain as punishment or coercion. Mental anguish. To afflict with great physical or mental pain.") rather than to the intelligence community's attempts to redefine torture as death, something that approaches very near death or causes the tortured to believe themselves to be about to die. I rely on the proper, traditional definition of "legitimately convicted" as clearly stated within every unambiguous and legitimate educational and news dissemination venue that exists or has ever existed within the United States.

As you may know, that regime perpetually hides behind popular perceptions of delusion and/or schizophrenia and the absence of proofs, which all elements of that regime are designed specifically and elegantly to avoid.

As you may also know, no relevant information as to that cruel and clearly unconstitutional regime has ever been or ever will be willingly provided by the CIA under this or any other FOIA request or other legitimate legal venue and that the CIA and the intelligence and investigative community only distribute a standard package of useless fluff in response to similar FOIA requests so as to provide the appearance of having fulfilled their obligations under the FOIA and the law.

If the CIA would assist (via technological infrastructure or otherwise) in the perpetual and clandestine surveillance and punishment of peaceful U.S. citizens who have been duly tried and

### **Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

convicted of no crime, then that aspect of its mission must adhere to all of the checks and balances associated with domestic law enforcement, investigation and correction. Perhaps it was a mistake to extend part of the CIA's mission to include persecutions such as those described herein in the first place.

As you may know, only the CIA and members of the intelligence and investigative community have the resources and infrastructure to support the remote and location-independent surveillance and manipulation of electronic devices (including the human brain and central nervous system whether with or without the assistance of devices embedded in the flesh) and forms of clandestine psychological and physical attack as described herein which make use of them.

In effect, the intelligence and investigative community have created the perfect hidden tyranny in which an unknown number of peaceful U.S. citizens are being and have long been enslaved to that tyranny and that no admission of that tyranny will be forthcoming – a situation ripe for and deserving of legal challenge.

As you may also know, that regime has never been officially acknowledged as existing at all by the intelligence and investigation community despite its being regularly sold and sensationalized for strategic purposes by private individuals cooperating within the creative media and other venues, who regularly receive information drawn from the surveillance of those persecuted individuals (in part employing the means described within part 1 of my FOIA request) so as to bounce back at them in clever, deniable and self-protecting ways often referred to as 'mirroring' and 'mockingbird', often making oblique and frequently false and/or exaggerated insinuations about those persecuted persons for the consumption of some knowledgeable subset of the public and to encourage useful refutations. Those individuals also regularly take the public complaints and other offerings of such persecuted persons and twist them into grotesque and distorted parodies for the consumption of the public in their attempts to pressure, discredit and belittle and whip up as much public support for their efforts against those persecuted persons as possible. Those individuals (including George Orwell, Rod Serling, Steven Spielberg, Steven King and numerous others) have according to established formula long insinuated (so as to be both recognizable to many but eminently deniable) the existence of that regime for strategic reasons within their art works on behalf of the intelligence community since 1949 and continuously thereafter. You may note that the publication of Orwell's 1984 dates back to the approximate time that some of the laws often cited to prevent the disclosure of information such as that which I am requesting were put into place, as the regime mentioned herein was being designed and built. You might also note the novel's strategic and temporal association with so-called 'Project Octopus' and the oblique reference to Project Octopus within the film 'A Beautiful Mind', a biography about one such persecuted person named John Nash.

The following is from the essay Hacks and Spooks by Richard Keeble – Professor of Journalism at the University of London – writing for Media Lens

### **Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

Going as far back as 1945, George Orwell no less became a war correspondent for the Observer - probably as a cover for intelligence work. Significantly most of the men he met in Paris on his assignment, Freddie Ayer, Malcolm Muggeridge, Ernest Hemingway were either working for the intelligence services or had close links to them. Stephen Dorril, in his seminal history of MI6, reports that Orwell attended a meeting in Paris of resistance fighters on behalf of David Astor, his editor at the Observer and leader of the intelligence service's unit liaising with the French resistance.

The release of Public Record Office documents in 1995 about some of the operations of the MI6-financed propaganda unit, the Information Research Department of the Foreign Office, threw light on this secret body -- which even Orwell aided by sending them a list of "crypto-communists". Set up by the Labour government in 1948, it "ran" dozens of Fleet Street journalists and a vast array of news agencies across the globe until it was closed down by Foreign Secretary David Owen in 1977.

Orwell's contributions include:

"If you want a vision of the future, Winston, imagine a boot stamping on a human face forever." and

"Please remember that I have it within my power to inflict as much pain and to whatever degree that I choose" and

"Somehow they will defeat you."

"On what evidence?" [from the BBC film production]

As well as the concepts of 'thought police', 'thought crime' and so forth. On the other side of the Atlantic, the reality has been strategically reflected into works like "Close Encounters of the Third Kind" with dialog such as:

"Now I use my secret weapon!", "Well, it looks like it's [he's] disintegrated", "I guess he's had enough.", "I've got you on my super video detecto set.", "Headaches? Migraines?", "Burning sensations?" and "Where did the voices come from?"

As you may know, the spirit of totalitarianism and use of the media illustrated in Orwell's 1984 is a fairly accurate reflection of the regime mentioned herein, at least for such persecuted individuals themselves. It is an established fact that the intelligence community has regularly employed the creative media for decades and such use would necessarily emulate simple creative license and employ carefully crafted suggestion and insinuation in order to be so employed indefinitely at all. Thus, the U.S. intelligence and investigative community (including the CIA) cannot now claim that such information as that which I am requesting is classified, secret and/or immune from disclosure, having already disseminated in self serving ways its existence,



**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

structure and intentions via its associates within the media according to established formula, entirely on their own terms and in the service of their own interests.

As you may know, those methods in part 1 of my FOIA request (that can and do include endless: interrogation, torture, punishment, surreptitious suggestion that borders on hypnotism, suggestion to commit suicide, threat, lie and induced debilitation that may continue uninterrupted for years or decades) do exist and effectively sweep away 1) Miranda rights to remain silent and to be informed of the right to remain silent (particularly in terms of that aspect of the arsenal capable of surveilling human thought remotely either with or without the assistance of devices embedded in the flesh). 2) Fourth Amendment rights in terms of being “secure in their persons” against “unreasonable search and seizure”. 3) Fifth Amendment rights in terms of due process, double jeopardy, self-incrimination, and the taking of private property. 4) Sixth Amendment rights to speedy trial by an impartial jury in a court of law, right to counsel and to be informed of the nature and cause of an accusation; to be (fairly) confronted with witnesses and to have a compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his or her favor. 5) Seventh Amendment rights to clear rules governing common law trials. 6) Eighth Amendment rights against cruel and unusual punishment. 7) Even first amendment rights to practice religion. To surveill a persons thought process directly, continuously and clandestinely and influence his or her central nervous system in various ways is to interfere with the right to prayer and private communion with God. Even worse, the practitioners of that regime frequently have felt free to falsely engender religious beliefs by encouraging those persons to erroneously believe that those semi-communications were the voice of God or other religious figures or even fool them into believing that they themselves were somehow religious figures. 8) The Ninth Amendment, since the rules and procedures of that regime have never been officially acknowledged by the state at all. 9) Rights to privacy with council. And so forth. Neither the President, the Congress and Senate, nor officials within the intelligence community have the authority to nullify all of those basic laws, regardless of the offense, short of the recently constructed twilight zone surrounding terrorism and unarguable national security matters. Nor, as I understand the law, can a federal or state judge authorize the use of such means indefinitely, while enjoying the support of only some closed subset of the public, the rest of the public being excluded and left entirely ignorant (except in terms of the insinuation game mentioned above) and effectively organize them into a kind of semi-clandestine vigilante mob.

According to the eminently respected Doctor Steven Aftergood of the Federation of American Scientists as interviewed by CNN.

There is a record of decades of research into technologies of mind control and behavior modification dating back at least to the 60's if not before. The state of the art has reached some astonishing levels of accomplishment. For example, it is now possible to remotely raise a person's body temperature by bathing them in microwave radiation, to the point that they are incapacitated. It is possible to induce auditory hallucinations, in other words to make a person hear things, by means of certain frequencies of electro-magnetic radiation. And these are things



### **Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

that sound like science fiction or fantasy but they have been demonstrated at least in the laboratory.

The intelligence and investigative community cannot hide the simple fact of that regime itself nor hide the human damage that that regime has wreaked. That regime is not dissimilar to the CIA's rendition program of quietly shipping suspects off to foreign nations to be tortured and interrogated. That regime is not dissimilar to slavery itself in that a whip is a whip, whether it is an electromagnetic one or one made of leather. As you know, domestic law enforcement and correction carry more lawful protections for citizens than the recently constructed legal twilight zone surrounding terrorism. In other words, the intelligence and investigative community cannot simply stab U.S. citizens in the back, torture them, subject them to endless mental cruelty, steal the content of their thoughts, whereabouts and activities and spill that information out to their associates within the public and the media and hide from the consequences for doing so indefinitely and justify it in terms of terrorism, foreign relations and national security, while simultaneously whipping up some portion of the public into a frenzy of hate against persons targeted by those means. Nor can they legitimately provide members of the public the means to do so either. Nor can they distort the use of the English language such that the word 'delusional' covers any behavior or attitude that they dislike and wish the word to encompass, while allowing others to interpret or pretend to interpret the use of the word as referring to the overtly defined dictionary definition. Perhaps they have "perfected the language" (to use Orwell's terminology) a little too well such that its use in some respects is no longer legitimate at all.

The fact of the intelligence community's ability to remotely surveil and manipulate the central nervous system has already been established and is a matter of public record. One need only refer to the so-called 'UCLA Violence Project' of the mid nineteen seventies intended to continuously monitor and remotely punish parolees if necessary to demonstrate that fact, although the actual regime described herein would more accurately be termed the 'Perpetually Seek To Induce Violence and Misery Until We Achieve Whatever We Want Project'.

These facts will surface in detail within my upcoming civil suit against the CIA and other members of the intelligence and investigative community, should they and the CIA continue to avoid their responsibilities in this matter.

In your response letter, you referred to your earlier response letter dated October 23, 2007 in which you stated that:

*We cannot process items 1-5 because they are too general. The FOIA gives public access to "reasonably described" records, which means that requesters must describe records with enough specificity to allow a professional employee familiar with the subject to find them through indexing systems. The FOIA does not require federal agencies to do research, answer questions, or search through collections of material to see if any of it is relevant.*

**Exhibit A -- FOIA Letters**

My request was as "reasonably described" as it could possibly be without attempting to be too specific in terms of guesswork. I cannot refer to the parlance that the CIA and intelligence and investigative community prefer, because the public is never informed of that parlance. I cannot refer to "directed energy weapons", because the means described therein could be technically considered not to be weapons. I cannot be more specific about the technologies themselves, because the technologies are held in such secrecy. My request does meet the specificity criteria.

Please review the withholding of information covered by the relevant Freedom of Information Act request, and release this information to me within twenty days. The requested identities within part 4 of my request I should not have requested and hereby withdraw on behalf of the security and safety of those persons. Also, owing to the presumed necessity of the technological means themselves on behalf of the more legitimate missions of the intelligence and investigative community, I do not request the specific technological details of the technologies employed. I am simply interested in receiving, at long last, some official admission of and information surrounding the current and historical facts of that regime as stated herein and within the FOIA request and some relevant information as to how those are lawfully authorized.

For the reasons stated and given the compelling need to obtain the material requested in the referenced request and the public's right to know the facts of that hidden regime and particularly in consideration of the rights of individuals targeted by such means, I shall be compelled to initiate court action on this matter promptly after the 20-day appeal period has ended.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Theodore (Ted) G. Jackson  
265 Glen Cove Drive  
Avondale Estates, GA 30002  
404-296-5547

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

Jul 17 2008

Mr. Theodore G. Jackson  
265 Glen Cove Drive  
Avondale Estates, GA 30002

Reference: F-2008-01358

Dear Mr. Jackson:

We are in receipt of your letter dated 5 July 2008 regarding your appeal of our 30 June 2008 final determination on your 30 May 2008 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for the same set of records that you previously requested in F-2008-00012.

Please be advised that you were not given appeal rights in our final response to you in F-2008-01358, as that request was a duplicate request (see final response letter dated 30 June 2008 enclosed herein). With regard to your original request, F-2008-00012, we also did not provide you with appeal rights when we cancelled your request (see final response letter dated 23 October 2007 enclosed herein). Since your original request was separated into a Privacy Act (PA) and FOIA case, the PA portion (P-2008-00011) was also cancelled following your failure to provide requested information with 45 days pursuant to our 10 October 2007 letter (enclosed herein).

As such, you were not given the right to appeal any of the above-referenced requests. We are sorry that we cannot assist you any further.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Delores M. Nelson".

Delores M. Nelson  
Information and Privacy Coordinator

Enclosures

**Exhibit A -- FOIA Letters**

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**



**U.S. Department of Justice**

**Federal Bureau of Investigation**

*Washington, D.C. 20535*

**June 30, 2008**

**MR. THEODORE GRAHAM JACKSON  
265 GLEN COVE DRIVE  
AVONDALE ESTATES, GA 30002**

**Request No.: 1116206-000  
Subject: JACKSON, THEODORE GRAHAM**

**Dear Requester:**

This is in response to your Freedom of Information-Privacy Acts (FOIPA) request noted above.

To promptly respond to requests, we concentrate on identifying main files in the central records system at FBI Headquarters. No records responsive to your FOIPA request were located by a search of the automated and manual indices.

You may file an administrative appeal by writing to the Director, Office of Information and Privacy, United States Department of Justice, 1425 New York Ave., NW, Suite 11050, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001, within sixty days from the date of this letter. The envelope and the letter should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Appeal" or "Information Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the FBI File Fact Sheet.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Hardy", is written over a horizontal line.

**David M. Hardy  
Section Chief  
Record/Information  
Dissemination Section  
Records Management Division**

**Enclosure**

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**



**U.S. Department of Justice**

**Federal Bureau of Investigation**

*Washington, D C 20535*

June 30, 2008

Mr. Theodore Jackson  
265 Glen Cove Drive  
Avondale Estates, GA 30002

Dear Requester:

This is in reference to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request concerning miscellaneous documents. The FOIA does not require federal agencies to answer inquiries, create records, conduct research, or draw conclusions concerning queried data. Rather, the FOIA requires agencies to provide access to reasonably described, nonexempt records. The questions posed in the referenced letter are not FOIA requests because they do not comply with the FOIA and its regulations. It may be best to contact the Federal Bureau of Prisons to obtain your requested information.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D Hardy", is placed below the "Sincerely yours," text.

David M. Hardy  
Section Chief,  
Records Information  
and Dissemination Section  
Records Management Division



**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

July 7, 2008

Director, Office of Information and Privacy  
United States Department of Justice  
1425 New York Ave., NW, Suite 11050  
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Re: Freedom of Information Act Appeal

Dear Director:

Under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, 6 U.S.C. Sec. 552(a), I hereby appeal the withholding of the material and information referred to in your agency's response letter dated June 30, 2008 in regards to my FOIA request letter dated May 30, 2008. For your reference, my request was assigned Request Number 1116206-000.

As you may know, the intelligence and investigative community maintain a kind of clandestine punitive regime that may employ each of the means listed within part 1 of my FOIA request, that can include both long term physical torture and concerted mental cruelty, that is frequently employed against peaceful U.S. citizens who have been legitimately convicted of no crime, and that has thrust many of those persons to their deaths, into institutions and into prisons under false, contrived and aggravated circumstances. I rely on the proper, traditional definition of torture according to Webster's Dictionary ("Infliction of severe physical pain as punishment or coercion. Mental anguish. To afflict with great physical or mental pain.") rather than to the intelligence community's attempts to redefine torture as death, something that approaches very near death or causes the tortured to believe themselves to be about to die. I rely on the proper, traditional definition of "legitimately convicted" as clearly stated within every unambiguous and legitimate educational and news dissemination venue that exists or has ever existed within the United States.

As you may know, that regime perpetually hides behind popular perceptions of delusion and/or schizophrenia and the absence of proofs, which all elements of that regime are designed specifically and elegantly to avoid.

As you may also know, no relevant information as to that cruel and clearly unconstitutional regime has ever been or ever will be willingly provided by the FBI under this or any other FOIA request or other legitimate legal venue and that the FBI and the intelligence and investigative community only distribute a standard package of useless fluff in response to similar FOIA requests so as to provide the appearance of having fulfilled their obligations under the FOIA and the law.

### **Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

If the FBI would assist (via technological infrastructure or otherwise) in the perpetual and clandestine surveillance and punishment of peaceful U.S. citizens who have been duly tried and convicted of no crime, then that aspect of its mission must adhere to all of the checks and balances associated with domestic law enforcement, investigation and correction. Perhaps it was a mistake to extend part of the FBI's mission to include persecutions such as those described herein in the first place.

As you may know, only the FBI and members of the intelligence and investigative community have the resources and infrastructure to support the remote and location-independent surveillance and manipulation of electronic devices (including the human brain and central nervous system whether with or without the assistance of devices embedded in the flesh) and forms of clandestine psychological and physical attack as described herein which make use of them.

In effect, the intelligence and investigative community have created the perfect hidden tyranny in which an unknown number of peaceful U.S. citizens are being and have long been enslaved to that tyranny and that no admission of that tyranny will be forthcoming – a situation ripe for and deserving of legal challenge.

As you may also know, that regime has never been officially acknowledged as existing at all by the intelligence and investigation community despite its being regularly sold and sensationalized for strategic purposes by private individuals cooperating within the creative media and other venues, who regularly receive information drawn from the surveillance of those persecuted individuals (in part employing the means described within part 1 of my FOIA request) so as to bounce back at them in clever, deniable and self-protecting ways often referred to as 'mirroring' and 'mockingbird', often making oblique and frequently false and/or exaggerated insinuations about those persecuted persons for the consumption of some knowledgeable subset of the public and to encourage useful refutations. Those individuals also regularly take the public complaints and other offerings of such persecuted persons and twist them into grotesque and distorted parodies for the consumption of the public in their attempts to pressure, discredit and belittle and whip up as much public support for their efforts against those persecuted persons as possible. Those individuals (including George Orwell, Rod Serling, Steven Spielberg, Steven King and numerous others) have according to established formula long insinuated (so as to be both recognizable to many but eminently deniable) the existence of that regime for strategic reasons within their art works on behalf of the intelligence and investigative community since 1949 and continuously thereafter. You may note that the publication of Orwell's 1984 dates back to the approximate time that some of the laws often cited to prevent the disclosure of information such as that which I am requesting were put into place, as the regime mentioned herein was being designed and built. You might also note the novel's strategic and temporal association with so-called 'Project Octopus' and the oblique reference to Project Octopus within the film 'A Beautiful Mind', a biography about one such persecuted person named John Nash.

### Exhibit A – FOIA Letters

The following is from the essay Hacks and Spooks by Richard Keeble – Professor of Journalism at the University of London – writing for Media Lens

*Going as far back as 1945, George Orwell no less became a war correspondent for the Observer – probably as a cover for intelligence work. Significantly most of the men he met in Paris on his assignment, Freddie Ayer, Malcolm Muggeridge, Ernest Hemingway were either working for the intelligence services or had close links to them. Stephen Dorril, in his seminal history of MI6, reports that Orwell attended a meeting in Paris of resistance fighters on behalf of David Astor, his editor at the Observer and leader of the intelligence service's unit liaising with the French resistance.*

*The release of Public Record Office documents in 1995 about some of the operations of the MI6-financed propaganda unit, the Information Research Department of the Foreign Office, threw light on this secret body – which even Orwell aided by sending them a list of "crypto-communists". Set up by the Labour government in 1948, it "ran" dozens of Fleet Street journalists and a vast array of news agencies across the globe until it was closed down by Foreign Secretary David Owen in 1977.*

Orwell's contributions include:

*"If you want a vision of the future, Winston, imagine a boot stamping on a human face forever." and*

*"Please remember that I have it within my power to inflict as much pain and to whatever degree that I choose" and*

*"Somehow they will defeat you."*

*"On what evidence?" [from the BBC film production]*

As well as the concepts of 'thought police', 'thought crime' and so forth. On the other side of the Atlantic, the reality has been strategically reflected into works like "Close Encounters of the Third Kind" with dialog such as:

*"Now I use my secret weapon!", "Well, it looks like it's [he's] disintegrated", "I guess he's had enough.", "I've got you on my super video detecto set.", "Headaches? Migraines?", "Burning sensations?" and "Where did the voices come from?"*

As you may know, the spirit of totalitarianism and use of the media illustrated in Orwell's 1984 is a fairly accurate reflection of the regime mentioned herein, at least for such persecuted individuals themselves. It is an established fact that the intelligence and investigative community has regularly employed contacts within the news and creative media for decades and such use would necessarily emulate simple creative license and employ carefully crafted

### **Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

suggestion and insinuation in order to be so employed indefinitely at all. In terms of the FBI specifically, one need only review the FBI's back-room assassination of Jean Seberg to reveal the tip of THAT iceberg.

Thus, the U.S. intelligence and investigative community (including the FBI) cannot now claim that such information as that which I am requesting is classified, secret and/or immune from disclosure, having already disseminated in self serving ways its existence, structure and intentions via its associates within the media according to established formula, entirely on their own terms and in the service of their own interests.

As you may know, those methods in part 1 of my FOIA request (that can and do include endless: interrogation, torture, punishment, surreptitious suggestion that borders on hypnotism, suggestion to commit suicide, threat, lie and induced debilitation that may continue uninterrupted for years or decades) do exist and effectively sweep away 1) Miranda rights to remain silent and to be informed of the right to remain silent (particularly in terms of that aspect of the arsenal capable of surveilling human thought remotely either with or without the assistance of devices embedded in the flesh). 2) Fourth Amendment rights in terms of being "secure in their persons" against "unreasonable search and seizure". 3) Fifth Amendment rights in terms of due process, double jeopardy, self-incrimination, and the taking of private property. 4) Sixth Amendment rights to speedy trial by an impartial jury in a court of law, right to counsel and to be informed of the nature and cause of an accusation; to be (fairly) confronted with witnesses and to have a compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his or her favor. 5) Seventh Amendment rights to clear rules governing common law trials. 6) Eighth Amendment rights against cruel and unusual punishment. 7) Even first amendment rights to practice religion. To surveil a persons thought process directly, continuously and clandestinely and influence his or her central nervous system in various ways is to interfere with the right to prayer and private communion with God. Even worse, the practitioners of that regime frequently have felt free to falsely engender religious beliefs by encouraging those persons to erroneously believe that those semi-communications were the voice of God or other religious figures or even fool them into believing that they themselves were somehow religious figures. 8) The Ninth Amendment, since the rules and procedures of that regime have never been officially acknowledged by the state at all. 9) Rights to privacy with council. And so forth. Neither the President, the Congress and Senate, nor officials within the intelligence community have the authority to nullify all of those basic laws, regardless of the offense, short of the recently constructed twilight zone surrounding terrorism and unarguable national security matters. Nor, as I understand the law, can a federal or state judge authorize the use of such means indefinitely, while enjoying the support of only some closed subset of the public, the rest of the public being excluded and left entirely ignorant (except in terms of the insinuation game mentioned above) and effectively organize them into a kind of semi-clandestine vigilante mob.

According to the eminently respected Doctor Steven Aftergood of the Federation of American Scientists as interviewed by CNN.

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

*There is a record of decades of research into technologies of mind control and behavior modification dating back at least to the 60's if not before. The state of the art has reached some astonishing levels of accomplishment. For example, it is now possible to remotely raise a person's body temperature by bathing them in microwave radiation, to the point that they are incapacitated. It is possible to induce auditory hallucinations, in other words to make a person hear things, by means of certain frequencies of electro-magnetic radiation. And these are things that sound like science fiction or fantasy but they have been demonstrated at least in the laboratory.*

The intelligence and investigative community cannot hide the simple fact of that regime itself nor hide the human damage that that regime has wreaked. That regime is not dissimilar to the CIA's rendition program of quietly shipping suspects off to foreign nations to be tortured and interrogated. That regime is not dissimilar to slavery itself in that a whip is a whip, whether it is an electromagnetic one or one made of leather. As you know, domestic law enforcement and correction carry more lawful protections for citizens than the recently constructed legal twilight zone surrounding terrorism. In other words, the intelligence and investigative community cannot simply stab U.S. citizens in the back, torture them, subject them to endless mental cruelty, steal the content of their thoughts, whereabouts and activities and spill that information out to their associates within the public and the media and hide from the consequences for doing so indefinitely and justify it in terms of terrorism, foreign relations and national security, while simultaneously whipping up some portion of the public into a frenzy of hate against persons targeted by those means. Nor can they legitimately provide members of the public the means to do so either. Nor can they distort the use of the English language such that the word 'delusional' covers any behavior or attitude that they dislike and wish the word to encompass, while allowing others to interpret or pretend to interpret the use of the word as referring to the overtly defined dictionary definition. Perhaps they have "perfected the language" (to use Orwell's terminology) a little too well such that its use in some respects is no longer legitimate at all.

The fact of the intelligence community's ability to remotely surveil and manipulate the central nervous system has already been established and is a matter of public record. One need only refer to the so-called 'UCLA Violence Project' of the mid nineteen seventies intended to continuously monitor and remotely punish parolees if necessary to demonstrate that fact, although the actual regime described herein would more accurately be termed the 'Perpetually Seek To Induce Violence and Misery Until We Achieve Whatever We Want Project'.

These facts will surface in detail within my upcoming civil suit against the FBI and other members of the intelligence and investigative community, should they and the FBI continue to avoid their responsibilities in this matter.

In your response letter, you referred to your earlier response letter dated October 23, 2007 in which you stated that:



**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

*No records responsive to your FOIPA request were located by a search of the automated and manual indices.*

As old and prevalent as the technologies and techniques described herein are as expounded upon by numerous individuals within the media, I find that statement difficult to believe.

*The FOIA does not require federal agencies to answer questions, create records, conduct research, or draw conclusions concerning queried data. Rather, the FOIA requires agencies to provide access to reasonably described, nonexempt records. The questions posed in the reference letter are not FOIA requests because they do not comply with the FOIA and its regulations.*

My request was as “reasonably described” as it could possibly be without attempting to be too specific in terms of guesswork. I cannot refer to the parlance that the intelligence and investigative community prefer, because the public is never informed of that parlance. I cannot refer to “directed energy weapons”, because the means described therein could be technically considered not to be weapons. I cannot be more specific about the technologies themselves, because the technologies are held in such secrecy. My request does meet the specificity criteria and does represent a valid FOIA request.

*It may be best to contact the Federal Bureau of Prisons to obtain your requested information.*

That sort of language may frighten away others, but it does not frighten me.

Please review the withholding of information covered by the relevant Freedom of Information Act request, and release this information to me within twenty days. The requested identities within part 4 of my request I should not have requested and hereby withdraw on behalf of the security and safety of those persons. Also, owing to the presumed necessity of the technological means themselves on behalf of the more legitimate missions of the intelligence and investigative community, I do not request the specific technological details of the technologies employed. I am simply interested in receiving, at long last, some official admission of and information surrounding the current and historical facts of that regime as stated herein and within the FOIA request and some relevant information as to how those are lawfully authorized.

For the reasons stated and given the compelling need to obtain the material requested in the referenced request and the public’s right to know the facts of that hidden regime and particularly in consideration of the rights of individuals targeted by such means, I shall be compelled to initiate court action on this matter promptly after the 20-day appeal period has ended.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.



**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**

Sincerely,

Theodore (Ted) G. Jackson  
265 Glen Cove Drive  
Avondale Estates, GA 30002  
404-296-5547

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**



**U.S. Department of Justice**

**Office of Information and Privacy**

*Telephone: (202) 514-3642*

*Washington, D.C. 20530*

Mr. Theodore G. Jackson  
265 Glen Cove Drive  
Avondale Estates, GA 30002

Re: Request No. 1116206

Dear Mr. Jackson:

This is to advise you that your administrative appeal from the action of the Federal Bureau of Investigation was received by this Office on July 22, 2008.

The Office of Information and Privacy, which has the responsibility of adjudicating such appeals, has a substantial backlog of pending appeals received prior to yours. In an attempt to afford each appellant equal and impartial treatment, we have adopted a general practice of assigning appeals in the approximate order of receipt. Your appeal has been assigned number 08-2327. Please mention this number in any future correspondence to this Office regarding this matter.

We will notify you of the decision on your appeal as soon as we can. We regret the necessity of this delay and appreciate your continued patience.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in ink, appearing to read "Priscilla Jones", is written over a horizontal line.

Priscilla Jones  
Supervisory Administrative Specialist

**Exhibit A – FOIA Letters**



**U.S. Department of Justice**

**Office of Information and Privacy**

Telephone: (202) 514 3642

Washington, D.C. 20530

**AUG 11 2008**

Mr. Theodore G. Jackson  
265 Glen Cove Drive  
Avondale Estates, GA 30002

Re: Appeal No. 08-2327  
Request No. 1116206  
ADW:SVJ

Dear Mr. Jackson:

You appealed from the action of the Headquarters Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation on your request for access to records pertaining to yourself.

After carefully considering your appeal, I am affirming the FBI's action on your request. The FBI informed you that it could locate no records responsive to your request in its automated or manual indices. I have determined that the FBI's response was correct and that it conducted an adequate, reasonable search for records responsive to your request.

If you are dissatisfied with my action on your appeal, you may file a lawsuit in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brentin V. Smith", is written over the typed name "Janice Galli McLeod".

Janice Galli McLeod  
Associate Director